Wilson, C. C. (1997). J. Mol. Struct. 405, 207-217.

Wilson, C. C., Shankland, N. & Florence, A. J. (1996). Chem. Phys. Lett. 253, 103-107.

Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 954-956

Novel Oxidative Rearrangement Product of the First *trans,cis,cis,cis*-[5.5.5.5]Fenestrane Derivative

PAUL A. WENDER,^{*a*} MITCH A. deLong^{*b*} and Fred C. WIREKO^{*b*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA, and ^bThe Procter and Gamble Company, Miami Valley Laboratories, PO Box 538707, Cincinnati, OH 452539-8707, USA. E-mail: wireko.fc@pg.com

(Received 6 November 1996; accepted 4 February 1997)

Abstract

The structure of *rel*-(4*S*,6*R*,9*S*,11*S*)-1-hydroxy-16-methyl-14-oxapentacyclo[7.4.3.0^{4,15}.0^{11,15}.0^{6,16}]hexadeca-7-ene, $C_{16}H_{22}O_2$, (IV), has been determined. This study thus establishes compound (III), the precursor of (IV), as the first *trans,cis,cis*.*cis*-[5.5.5.5]fenestrane. The geometry around the central quaternary C atom of (IV) shows relatively little distortion. The C=C bond in the cyclopentene subunit is slightly shorter [1.305 (4) Å] than normal. Similarly, small departures from normal are seen in several C--C single bonds.

Comment

Fenestranes (Luef & Keese, 1993) are tetracycles sharing a central C atom. This connectivity feature gives rise to unique topologies along with the potential for severe strain. Several syntheses of the cis, cis, cis, cis-[5.5.5.5] fenestranes have been reported (Thommen, Gerber & Keese, 1991; Keese, Guidetti-Grept & Herzog, 1992). Prior to the current work, however, no synthesis of a trans, cis, cis, cis-[5.5.5] fenestrane system had been reported, despite impressive efforts toward this goal (Luyten & Keese, 1986). The strain of such fenestranes accounts in part for the difficulty associated with their synthesis as well as that encountered in the preparation of even the simpler trans-bicyclo[3.3.0]octanes. This strain and its manifestation in unusual geometries for carbon have also resulted in considerable theoretical interest in the fenestranes (Monkhorst, 1968; Hoffmann, Alder & Wilcott, 1970; Wiberg, Ellison & Wendoloski, 1976; Collins et al., 1976; Kubiak, Fu, Gupta & Cook, 1990). Calculations on the fenestranes consistently predict a higher strain (ca 10 kcal mol⁻¹) for the trans iso-

mer compared to the all-cis isomer (e.g. Kubiak, Fu, Gupta & Cook, 1990).

Recent studies on a novel variant of the arene-alkene photocycloaddition reaction have led to a successful synthesis of the first *trans,cis,cis,cis-*[5.5.5.5]fenestrane (Wender, Dove & deLong, 1996; deLong, 1992). In this synthesis, the arene-bisalkene (I), upon irradiation, gave the tetracycle (II). Earlier studies (Wender & Howbert, 1982, 1983; Wender & Singh, 1985, 1990; Wender & deLong, 1990) have shown that the vinyl cyclopropane subunit of these cycloadducts can be cleaved by freeradical addition to the vinyl group. In the current study, this cleavage involving the C6—C15 cyclopropane bond produces a radical at C15 which is trapped internally by the C4—C5 alkene. The resultant C5 radical then adds to the C6—C7 double bond to produce, after H-atom abstraction from the solvent, the fenestrane (III).

Compound (III) is not crystalline and its NMR spectrum lacks sufficient signal dispersion for complete assignment. Consequently, efforts were taken to convert (III) to a crystalline derivative in order to secure its structure by X-ray analysis. Oxidation of the acetonitrile group in (III) gave an acid which upon treatment with $[Pb(OAc)_4]$ and $[Cu(OAc)_2]$ and subsequent hydrolysis afforded crystalline fenestrane (IV). Compound (IV) results from a novel oxidative cleavage of a σ bond, assisted presumably by the strain of the fenestrane system. A full description of the synthesis is given elsewhere (deLong, 1992). The structure of (IV) is described herein. This determination establishes the structure of (III) as a trans.cis.cis.cis.cis.f5.5.5]fenestrane. The power of this synthetic strategy rests in its capacity to produce novel fenestranes in only three steps from commercially available starting materials.



The H atoms at C4 and C11 in (IV) are in a *trans* relationship to each other, thus establishing the stereochemistry of this fenestrane derivative. There is some distortion in the molecule towards planar bonding as can be seen from the bond angles around the central C atom: C4-C15-C11 = 116.5 (2) and O14-C15-C16 = 111.9 (1)°. However, this strain is less than that ex-



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the fenestrane with atomic numbering. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the 50% probability level.

hibited by other fenestranes where deviations as large as 129.2 (5) and 128.3 (6)° in the [4.4.4.5]fenestrane series have been observed (Rao, George, Wolff & Agosta, 1985). [5.5.5.5]Fenestranes also show planar distortion (Guidetti-Grept *et al.*, 1995). The incorporation of two six-membered rings in (IV) minimizes this distortion. The C=C bond length in the cyclopentene subunit is slightly shorter [1.305 (4) Å] than normal. C6—C16 and C9—C16 are lengthened to 1.570 (3) and 1.557 (3) Å, respectively. Several bonds along the perimeter of the fenestrane exhibit some shortening. The molecules form hydrogen-bonded dimers about inversion centers (1 - x, -y, 1 - z) as shown (Fig. 2). The donor and acceptor O atoms, $O1 \cdots O4^i$, are separated by 2.858 (2) Å and subtend an angle of 167 (3)° at the H atom.



Fig. 2. The hydrogen bonding between two symmetry-related molecules.

Experimental

Crystals of (IV) were obtained by slow evaporation from diethyl ether solution.

Crystal data	
$C_{16}H_{22}O_2$	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 246.34$	$\lambda = 1.54059 \text{ Å}$

Monoclinic
$P2_1/c$
a = 8.567 (2) Å
b = 12.189(2) Å
c = 12.557(3) Å
$\beta = 98.05 (3)^{\circ}$
$V = 1298.3 (5) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.260 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

. . .

 D_m not measured

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5R RU200 diffractometer $\theta/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: none 2183 measured reflections 2035 independent reflections 1871 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]] = 0.047$ $w = 1/[\sigma^2(P^2)] = 0.147$ $wR(F^2) = 0.126$ where AS = 1.080 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0$ 2035 reflections $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0$ 229 parameters $\Delta \rho_{min} = -$ H-atom positions refined, UScatteringvalues fixed in final cycleScattering

Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 18-30^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.635 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Rectangular $0.40 \times 0.35 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$ Colorless

 $R_{int} = 0.021$ $\theta_{max} = 61.86^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 9$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$ 3 standard reflections every 150 reflections intensity decay: none

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0573P)^2 + 0.4621P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = -0.048$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.253$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.187$ e Å⁻³ Extinction correction: none Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

21—01	1.401 (2)	C11-C15	1.543 (3)
21—014	1.459 (2)	C15—O14	1.443 (2)
C4—C15	1.524 (3)	C15-C16	1.543 (2)
C7C8	1.305 (4)		
014—C15—C16	111.9(1)	014-C15-C11	110.6(1)
)14—C15—C4	108.4 (2)	C16C15C11	104.7 (2)
C4—C15—C16	104.7(1)	C4C15C11	116.5 (2)

Data collection: MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1992a). Cell refinement: TEXSAN (Molcular Structure Corporation, 1992b). Data reduction: TEXSAN. Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL-Plus (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994). Molecular graphics: SHELXTL.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: FR1026). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Collins, J. B., Hill, J. D., Jemmis, E. D., Apeloig, Y., Schleyer, P. v. R., Seeger, R. & Pople, J. A. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 5419–5427.
- deLong, M. A. (1992). Thesis, Stanford University, Stanford, California, USA.
- Guidetti-Grept, R., Herzog, B., Debrunner, B., Siljegovic, V., Keese, R., Frey, H.-M., Hauser, A., König, O., Lüthi, S., Birrer, J., Nyffler, D., Förtsch, M. & Bürgi, H.-B. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 495–497.

- Hoffmann, R., Alder, R. W. & Wilcott, F. C. Jr (1970). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 4992-4993.
- Keese, R., Guidetti-Grept, R. & Herzog, B. (1992). Tetrahedron Lett. 33, 1207–1210.
- Kubiak, G., Fu, X., Gupta, A. K. & Cook, J. M. (1990). Tetrahedron Lett. 31, 4285–5288.
- Luef, W. & Keese, R. (1993). Planarizing Distortions in Carbon Compounds. Advances in Strain in Organic Chemistry, Vol. 3, pp. 229–267. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Luyten, M. & Keese, R. (1986). Tetrahedron, 42, 1687-1691.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1992a). MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software. Version 4.3.0. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1992b). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Monkhorst, H. J. (1968). Chem. Commun. pp. 1111-1113.
- Rao, V. B., George, C. F., Wolff, S. & Agosta, W. C. (1985). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 5732–5739.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). *SHELXTL-Plus*. Release 4.0. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). SHELXTL. Structure Determination Programs. Version 5.03. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Thommen, M., Gerber, P. & Keese, R. (1991). Chimia, 45, 21-24.
- Wender, P. A. & deLong, M. A. (1990). Tetrahedron Lett. 31, 5429-5432.
- Wender, P. A., Dove, T. M. & deLong, M. A. (1996). Tetrahedron Lett. 37, 7687–7690.
- Wender, P. A. & Howbert, J. J. (1982). Tetrahedron Lett. 23, 3983–3986.
- Wender, P. A. & Howbert, J. J. (1983). Tetrahedron Lett. 24, 5325-5328.
- Wender, P. A. & Singh, S. (1985). Tetrahedron Lett. 26, 5987-5990.
- Wender, P. A. & Singh, S. (1990). Tetrahedron Lett. 31, 2517-2520.
- Wiberg, K. B., Ellison, G. B. & Wendoloski, J. J. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 1212–1218.

with phenylmagnesium bromide upon workup with dry methanol or dilute acid aqueous solution, and their crystal structures were determined by X-ray diffraction. Compounds (1) and (2) have similar molecular structures with the two imino groups in (1) and the imino and ketone groups in (2) being on the same side of the C1, C2, C12, C13 plane, compared with the two O atoms in compound (3), which are on opposite sides.

Comment

Michael addition reactions involve organometallic compounds with activated double bonds. Grignard reagents reacting with ylidenemononitriles always generate 1,4addition or reductive products (Latif, Mishriky & Mohsen, 1974; Latif, Zeid & Assad, 1970). The nature of the resulting products depends on the organomagnesium halides as well as on the substrate, in particular on the stereochemical requirements of each (Latif, Girgis & Michael, 1970). Here, we report on the reactions of 2-(dicyanomethylene)adamantane with phenylmagnesium bromide which generate 1,2-addition products. The generation of different 1,2-products instead of 1,4-products or reductive products is ascribed to the steric effects between 2-(dicyanomethylene)adamantane and phenylmagnesium bromide.



Acta Cryst. (1997). C53, 956-959

1,3-Diphenyl-2-tricyclo[3.3.1.1^{3,7}]dec-2-ylidenepropane-1,3-diimine, and its -1-imino-3-one and -1,3-dione Derivatives

CHING-FA YAO,* YUH-SHIH CHEN, WEN-CHANG CHEN, REN-SHYANG SHEU, JEN-KOU LAI AND CHUEN-HER UENG*

Department of Chemistry, National Taiwan Normal University 88, Sec. 4, Ding-Jou Road, Taipei 117, Taiwan

(Received 16 September 1996; accepted 11 February 1997)

Abstract

1,3-Diphenyl-2-tricyclo[$3.3.1.1^{3,7}$]dec-2-ylidenepropane-1,3-diimine, C₂₅H₂₆N₂, (1), 1-imino-1,3-diphenyl-2-tricyclo[$3.3.1.1^{3,7}$]dec-2-ylidenepropan-3-one, C₂₅H₂₅NO, (2) and 1,3-diphenyl-2-tricyclo[$3.3.1.1^{3,7}$]dec-2-ylidenepropane-1,3-dione, C₂₅H₂₄O₂, (3), were prepared from the reactions of 2-(dicyanomethylene)adamantane

The crystals of the title compounds, (1), (2) and (3), all have centrosymmetric monoclinic space groups and have similar cell volumes. However, compound (3) belongs to a C-centered rather than a primitive space group like those of (1) and (2). Compounds (1) and (2) have similar molecular structures with the two imino groups in (1) and the imino and ketone groups in (2) all on the same side of the C1, C2, C12, C13 plane around C1. There is a crystallographic twofold axis through C1, C2 and C6 in (3), and the two O atoms are on opposite sides of the C1, C2, C12, C13 plane. The C=NH bond length [1.243(3) Å] in (2) is shorter than those in (1) [1.269(5)] and 1.279(5)Å and the C=O bond length [1.239(3) Å] in (2) is longer than those in (3) [1.224 (3) Å]. Compounds (1) and (2) have intramolecular hydrogen bonding via N1···HN2 and O···HN contacts $[N1 \cdots N2 \ 3.308 (5), N1 \cdots HN2 \ 2.554 (3) Å;$ $N \cdots O_{3,100}(3), O \cdots HN_{2,435}(2) Å$]. The torsion angles C2-C1-C12-N1 and C2-C1-C13-N2 are 78.4 (3) and $-131.9 (4)^{\circ}$, respectively, in (1), C2-C1-C12-O and C2-C1-C13-N are 89.2(2) and $-124.5(3)^{\circ}$, respectively, in (2), and C2-C1-C8-O